March 24, 2008

D050265 Helsper et al. v. County of San Diego

Judgment affirmed. The County to recover its costs. Benke, Acting P.J.; We Concur: McDonald, J., McIntyre, J.

D052123 In re J.H. et al., Juveniles

The appeals are dismissed. Benke, Acting P.J.; We Concur: Huffman, J., Irion, J.

D050659 Martin v. Barber et al.

The judgment is affirmed. Defendants shall recover their costs on appeal (Rule 8.278(a).) Nares, J.; We Concur: McConnell, P.J., Benke, J.

D051606 People v. Chavarria

Upon filing an abandonment of appeal, personally signed by the defendant, the appeal is dismissed and the remittitur is ordered to issue immediately. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.316.)

D050041 Edwards, Sr. et al. v. Fire Insurance Exchange

The order and judgment are affirmed. McIntyre, J.; We Concur: Haller, Acting P.J., McDonald, J.

D052546 Shannon R. et al. v. Superior Court of San Diego County/San Diego County Health and Human Services Agency

The attorney for petitioner Shannon R. has notified the court that a petition for writ of mandate under California Rules of Court, rules 8.452 and 5.600 will not be filed as there are no viable issues for writ review. The case is DISMISSED.

D052458 Fernanda L. v. Superior Court of San Diego County/San Diego County Health and Human Services Agency

The attorney for petitioner Fernanda L. has notified the court that a petition for writ of mandate under California Rules of Court, rules 8.452 and 5.600 will not be filed as there are no viable issues for writ review. The case is DISMISSED.

D051185 People v. Tatum

Affirmed. CERTIFIED FOR PUBLICATION. Irion, J.; We Concur: Nares, Acting P.J., Aaron J.

DIVISION ONE March 25, 2008

D050514 Architect/Delawie Wilkes Rodrigues Barker v. Quest Construction Engineering & Management, Inc.

The judgment is reversed and remanded, and the trial court is directed to set aside the order sustaining the demurrer without leave to amend and to hold further proceedings consistent with this opinion. Quest is awarded costs on appeal. Huffman, Acting P.J.; We Concur: McDonald, J., Aaron, J.

D052101 In re Hill on Habeas Corpus

The petition is denied.

D050433 Qualcomm Incorporated v. Certain Underwriters At Lloyd's London

The judgment is affirmed. O'Rourke, J.; We Concur: Huffman, Acting P.J., Aaron, J.

D048304 People v. Leon

The judgment is reversed with respect to count 2. In all other respects, the judgment is affirmed. The sentence is vacated and the matter is remanded for resentencing in accordance with our directions in part III.D., *ante*. CERTIFIED FOR PUBLICATION Aaron, J.; We Concur: Huffman, Acting P.J., Nares, J.

D051245 Davis v. Davis

The judgment is reversed. Suzanne is to recover her costs on appeal. McIntyre, J.; I Concur: Huffman, Acting P.J.; I Dissent (by opinion): McDonald, J.

D049866 People v. Esparza

The judgment is affirmed. Haller, J.; We Concur: Benke, Acting P.J., Huffman, J.

D048306 People v. Leon

The judgment is affirmed. The sentence is vacated and the matter is remanded for resentencing in accordance with our directions in part III.H., *ante*. Aaron, J.; We Concur: Huffman, Acting P.J., Nares, J.

D049986 Baumgardner v. Yokoyama

The judgment is affirmed. Dr. Yokoyama is entitled to costs on appeal. McConnell, P.J.; We Concur: O'Rourke, J., Aaron, J.

D050736 O'Leary et al. v. California Department of Fish and Game

The order setting aside the dismissal judgment is reversed. O'Leary to pay the Department's costs on appeal. Haller, J.; We Concur: Benke, Acting P.J., Huffman, J.

D049905 Searles Valley Minerals v. State Board of Equalization

The opinion filed February 26, 2008, is modified (no change in judgment). The petition for rehearing is denied.

DIVISION ONE

March 25, 2008 (Continued)

D052494 Katina L. v. Superior Court of San Diego County/San Diego County Health and Human Services Agency

The attorney for petitioner Katina L. has notified the court that a petition for writ of mandate under California Rules of Court, rule 8.452 and 5.600 will not be filed as there are no viable issues for writ review. The case is dismissed.

D052511 Stephen A. et al. v. Superior Court of San Diego County/San Diego County Health and Human Services Agency

The attorney for petitioner Rebecca C. has notified the court that a petition for writ of mandate under California Rules of Court, rule 8.452 and 5.600 will not be filed as there are no viable issues for writ review. The case is dismissed.

D052535 Sandy W. et al. v. Superior Court of San Diego County/San Diego County Health and Human Services Agency

The attorney for petitioner Logan B. has notified the court that a petition for writ of mandate under California Rules of Court, rule 8.452 and 5.600 will not be filed as there are no viable issues for writ review. The case is dismissed.

D050307 England et al. v. Fisher Thurber LLP et al.

The order overruling defendant Fisher and Fisher Thurber's demurrer is affirmed. Plaintiffs shall recover their costs on appeal. Nares, J.; We Concur: McConnell, P.J., Irion, J.

D051831 In re Talon V., a Juvenile

The judgment is affirmed. Benke, Acting P.J.; We Concur: Nares, J., McIntyre, J.]

D049011 Bourdette et al. v. Gardality et al.

The judgment is affirmed. McDonald, J.; We Concur: Huffman, Acting P.J., Haller, J.

D049822 People v. Woodruff

The judgment is affirmed. McDonald, J.; We Concur: Huffman, Acting P.J., Haller, J.

D050071 People v. Galashev

The judgment is affirmed. McDonald, J.; We Concur: Huffman, Acting P.J., McIntyre, J.

D052693 Griffin v. Superior Court of San Diego County/People

The petition for writ of mandate has been read and considered by Presiding Justice McConnell and Associate Justices Benke and Aaron. The petition is denied.

D049802 La Honda Development v. Kreusser

Upon written stipulation filed by the parties to the appeal, the appeal is dismissed and the remittitur is ordered to issue immediately. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.244(c)(2).) Each party to bear own costs on appeal.

COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT DIVISION ONE March 25, 2008 (Continued)

D052125 In re Alarcon on Habeas Corpus

The petition is denied.

March 26, 2008

D052161 In re Madrid on Habeas Corpus

The petition is denied.

D052144 In re Mathis on Habeas Corpus

The petition for writ of habeas corpus has been read and considered by Presiding Justice McConnell and Associate Justices Benke and Aaron.

Petitioner indicates that he pleaded guilty to second degree murder and voluntary manslaughter. He indicates that he was sentenced in 1993 to state prison for 15 years to life for the murder, and a concurrent term of six years for manslaughter. Petitioner indicates that he did not appeal.

Petitioner filed the instant habeas petition, which is largely incomprehensible. It appears petitioner believes the prosecutor promised him that he would serve only a 15-year base term, and that he would be released after serving that term. He claims that he was unaware that he could serve more than 15 years until he attended a Board of Prison Terms hearing on November 27, 2006, where he was informed of the indeterminate nature of his sentence.

Petitioner challenges the plea agreement he entered into in 1993. He has waited nearly 15 years to bring this petition, and it is therefore denied as untimely. Petitioner has not established an exception to the procedural bar. (*In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 814, fn. 34; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 797-798; *In re Bower* (1985) 38 Cal.3d 865, 873, fn. 3.)

Even if we were to excuse petitioner's untimeliness, petitioner's claim would fail. Petitioner's supporting documentation, including the abstract of judgment and transcript from petitioner's 1993 sentencing, indicates that petitioner was "sentenced to state prison for the indeterminate term of 15 years to life." There is nothing in the transcript or any other document petitioner attaches that indicates he was promised, or sentenced to anything but an indeterminate term. Because petitioner's claim that he was unaware of the indeterminate nature of his sentence is belied by his supporting documentation, he fails to state a prima facie case for relief. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474-475.) The petition is denied.

D049358 People v. Robinson

The judgment is affirmed. Aaron, J.; We Concur: McDonald, Acting P.J., McIntyre, J.

D051360 Kingston et al. v. Kunit

Upon written request filed by appellant George Kingston, the appeal is DISMISSED and the remittitur is ordered to issue immediately. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.244(c)(2).)

D052249 Allen v. Jackson et al.

Pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.140, the appeal filed December 27, 2007, is DISMISSED for appellant's failure to timely designate the record (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.121(a)).

DIVISION ONE March 27, 2008

D050830 In re Raymundo S., a Juvenile

The order of wardship is affirmed. Benke, Acting P.J.; I Concur: Haller, J., I Concur In Result: McDonald, J.

D049863 Jack v. Concordia Homes of California LLC et al.

The order is affirmed. The parties shall bear their own costs on appeal. Huffman, J.; We Concur: Benke, Acting P.J., McIntrye, J.

D050893 Carlton v. State of California Department of Motor Vehicles

The orders are affirmed. McDonald, J.; I Concur: Haller, J., I Concur in the Result: Huffman, Acting P.J.

D050705 In re Gabriel R., a Juvenile

The judgment is reversed. McDonald, J.; We Concur: Benke, Acting P.J., Nares, J.

D052499 City of San Diego v. Means

Upon filing a written abandonment of appeal, the appeal as to appellant Tracy L. Means is DISMISSED and the remittitur is ordered to issue immediately. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.244(c)(2).)

D050446 Porter v. Valenti International Limited et al.

Affirmed. Costs are awarded to respondents. Huffman, Acting P.J.; We Concur: McIntyre, J., O'Rourke, J.

D050450 Duffens et al. v. Valenti et al.

Affirmed. Costs are awarded to respondents. CERTIFIED FOR PUBLICATION. Huffman, Acting P.J.; We Concur: McIntyre, J., O'Rourke, J.

D052550 Kizer v. Superior Court of San Diego County/Thompson et al.

The petition is denied.

D052552 Reliable Home Help Nurses Registry, Inc. et al. v. The Superior Court of San Diego County/Thompson et al.

The petition is denied.

DIVISION ONE

March 27, 2008 (Continued)

D049266 Vesco v. San Diego Community Correctional Center et al.

The submission of the above-entitled cause on March 10, 2008, is VACATED to allow for supplemental briefing. The parties are directed to file simultaneous supplemental letter briefs, no later than April 14, 2008, addressing the following:

- 1. Because by statutory definition a partnership cannot exist absent an "association of two or more persons to carry on as co-owners a business for profit " (Corp. Code, §§ 16101, subd. (9); 16202), and once Vesco left the San Diego Community Correctional Center (SDCCC) partnership there thus could be no partnership to carry on a business, was it proper for the court to apply the provisions of section 16701 respecting the buy out of a dissociating partner to this case?
 - 2. If not, what, if any, is the effect on the judgment in this case?
- 3. Would it be appropriate for the appraiser to consider Lulu Porter's capital account in reaching a value of the SDCCC partnership as a going concern, as opposed to setting it off against the buyout price after the value of the partnership is determined?
- 4. Did the appraiser in this matter consider Porter's capital account in determining the value of SDCCC as a going concern?

Upon completion of briefing the cause is resubmitted. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.256(e)(1)

D059563 Zagami, Inc. v. Crone, Inc. D050090 Zagami, Inc. v. Crone, Inc.

The petition for rehearing is denied.

D051023 In re Botello on Habeas Corpus

The petition for writ of habeas corpus has been read and considered by Presiding Justice McConnell and Justices O'Rourke and Aaron. The petition is denied.

D049915 Kassab v. San Diego Police Department et al.

The petition for rehearing is denied. The motion to augment and request for judicial notice are denied.

D052683 People v. Story

The petition is denied.

D051012 Laigo v. Mahoney et al.

Respondent's unopposed motion to dismiss the appeal is granted. The appeal is dismissed.

DIVISION ONE March 28, 2008

D051104 Gallagher v. Community Connection Resource Center, Inc. Gallagher v. Community Connection Resource Center, Inc.

The parties' stipulation to consolidate the above-entitled appeals is accepted. The remaining briefs due in appeal D051746 shall be filed under that number. Upon completion of briefing in appeal D051746, all future documents will be filed under D051104.

D049993 People v. Smith

The opinion filed March 5, 2008, is ordered certified for publication.

D052206 In re Valdez on Habeas Corpus

The petition is denied.

D050489 Tillman et al. v. Petrus Jr., et al.

The judgment is affirmed. McDonald, J.; We Concur: Benke, Acting P.J., Haller, J.

D049362 People v. Botello

The judgment is affirmed. McConnell, P.J.; We Concur: O'Rourke, J., Aaron, J.

D050107 Samber, Inc. v. City of Oceanside et al.

The judgment of the trial court is reversed. The matter is remanded to the trial court with instructions to grant the petition for writ of mandamus. Appellant is entitled to costs on appeal. Aaron, J.; We Concur: McConnell, P.J., McDonald, J.

D050119 Garcia v. GMS Janitorial Services, Inc.

The judgment is affirmed; the motion for sanctions is denied. GMS is awarded its costs of appeal. McIntyre, J.; We Concur: McDonald, Acting P.J., Aaron, J.

D051268 People v. Jonescue

Judgment affirmed. O'Rourke, J.; We Concur: Huffman, Acting P.J., Nares, J.

D052196 In re Castillo on Habeas Corpus

The petition is denied.

D052542 Yaghaira C. v. Superior Court of San Diego County/San Diego County Health and Human Services Agency

The attorney for petitioner Yaghaira C. has notified the court that a petition for writ of mandate under California Rules of Court, rules 8.452 and 5.600 will not be filed as there are no viable issues for writ review. The case is DISMISSED.